1	Autumn R. Paine, State Bar No. 251038	
2	LAW OFFICE OF AUTUMN R. PAINE 385 Grand Avenue, Suite 200	
3	Felephone: (510) 832-1911 Facsimile: (510)832-0470 Email: autumn@oaklanddefense.com  Attorney for Plaintiff RICHARD FLEMMING  Thomas F. Bertrand, State Bar No. 056560 Michael C. Wenzel, State Bar No. 215388 Amy Leifur Halby, State Bar No. 287216 BERTRAND, FOX, ELLIOT, OSMAN & WENZEL The Waterfront Building 2749 Hyde Street San Francisco, California 94109 Telephone: (415) 353-0999 Facsimile: (415) 353-0990 Email: mwenzel@bfesf.com  Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF ALAMEDA and GREGORY AHERN	
4		
5		
6 7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13   14		
15		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18 19	RICHARD FLEMMING	Case No. 3:14-cv-05542-TEH
20	Plaintiff,	
21	v.	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE MEDIATION COMPLIANCE DEADLINE; [PROPOSED]
22	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, et al.	ORDER
23	Defendants.	
24		Hon. Thelton E. Henderson
25	STIPULATION	
26	Defendants COUNTY OF ALAMEDA and GREGORY AHERN and plaintiff RICHARD	
27	FLEMMING by and through their respective attorneys of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
28		
		1

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE MEDIATION COMPLIANCE DEADLINE; [PROPOSED] ORDER Flemming v. County of Alameda, et al.; USDC Northern District of CA Case No. 3:14-cv-05542-TEH

- 1. By order dated March 10, 2015 the parties' stipulation to participate in mediation was adopted and ordered. Pursuant to the Court's order, the parties' deadline to complete mediation is September 6, 2015. No trial date has been set.
- 2. By order filed May 6, 2015, the parties were assigned to mediator Mr. William M. Goodman.
- 3. On May 19, 2015, the parties participated in a pre-mediation phone conference with Mr. Goodman and scheduled mediation to take place on August 11, 2015. August 11, 2015 was the latest date before the mediation compliance deadline that Mr. Goodman and the parties were available for mediation.
- 4. Following the scheduling of mediation, the parties determined that they will be unable to meaningfully participate in mediation by August 11, 2015 because of scheduling difficulties.
- 5. The parties have diligently pursued discovery in this matter. However, the essential deposition of Plaintiff has been delayed due the parties' unavailability. Moreover, Defendant's responses to Plaintiff's written discovery requests remain outstanding given the number of requests and the unavailability of County personnel. Plaintiff's deposition has now been scheduled for August 27, 2015, the first date available for all parties.
- 6. Additionally, Plaintiff's counsel will be involved in a no time waiver multi-defendant preliminary hearing in mid-August.
- 7. The parties have made good-faith efforts to prepare to meaningfully participate in mediation. However, due to the unanticipated difficulty in scheduling the essential deposition of Plaintiff, the ongoing exchange of written discovery, unforeseen scheduling conflicts, and the limited availability of the mediator, the parties will be unable to complete necessary discovery and adequately prepare for mediation in compliance with this Court's September 6, 2015 deadline.
- 8. In a good-faith effort to comply with this Court's order referring the parties to mediation, the parties have rescheduled mediation with Mr. Goodman for October 27, 2015, subject to this Court's approval of the stipulated request herein to continue the mediation compliance deadline in this matter through such date. An earlier mediation date could not be selected because Mr. Goodman advised the parties that he is unavailable for mediation all of September and the majority of October.

Flemming v. County of Alameda, et al.; USDC Northern District of CA Case No. 3:14-cv-05542-TEH